



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,646	08/19/2003	Byung-cheol Song	1293.1923	3757
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 03/23/2007 LSFY LLP		EXAMINER  LEE, Y YOUNG  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 700				
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005			
	71, 50 2000		2621	
				• .
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
21.1	DAVS	. 03/23/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/642,646	SONG, BYUNG-CHEC	SONG, BYUNG-CHEOL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Y. Lee	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. I reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· _ · · · _ ·	This action is non-final.					
·	· <u></u>					
closed in accordance with the practice un	·	•				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	_					
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction an	8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	оггеction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	J.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	_					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>						
application from the International B						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _		•			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1-21 show 2 mutually exclusive embodiments as illustrated in Figures 10 and 11:
  - (1) species 1, Figure 10; and
  - (2) species 2, Figure 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species with the appropriate Figure(s) of the drawings that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Y. Lee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621